

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,387	387 03/03/2004		Hiroaki Takahata	Q80091	3528
23373	7590	03/17/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE	,		ZEMEL, IRINA SOPJIA		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	TON, DC	20037		. 1711	
				DATE MAILED: 03/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE I	ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever					
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months	statutory period will apply and will ly will, by statute, cause the applic	ation to become ABANDONE	D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
	lad 04 tuna 0004					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) fi 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	ied on <u>04 June 2004</u> . _2b)⊠ This action is no	n-final				
1 ' -	this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the prac	•	, ,				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the a	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/	• •	sideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	-					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or election re	quirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are		objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) includir	ng the correction is require	d if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a clain	n for foreign priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priorit	y documents have been	received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the Internati	•					
* See the attached detailed Office acti	on for a list of the certifi	ed copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ((DTO 048)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
 2)			Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3-3-04</u> .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)	Office Action Summary	Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20060313			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 4,791,147 to Tanaka et al., (hereinafter "Tanaka '147").

Tanaka '147 discloses expandable resin compositions comprising an olefin based polymer and a thermally decomposable foaming agent. Among suitable olefinic polymers, the reference expressly discloses copolymers of at least 80 % of butane with and alpha-olefins, such as propylene. See column 3, lines 20-31. Thus, choosing the copolymer that corresponds to the claimed olefinic copolymers from the list of expressly disclosed suitable copolymers would have been obvious with reasonable expectation of adequate results. Among the suitable thermally decomposable materials, the reference lists several chemical compounds, which have decomposition temperatures both below and above 190 C. See list in column 3, lines 33-43. The reference further expressly discloses use of more than one thermally decomposable blowing agent (see, for example, illustrative embodiment 1). Thus, chosing two blowing agents from the list of expressly disclosed compounds would be obvious with reasonable expectation of adequate results, absent showing of unexpected results that can be clearly attributed to two claimed components with specific decomposition temperatures. The reference

further discloses blending the olefinic co-polymer with polypropylene extruding and foaming the composition. The reference discloses blending polybutadiene copolymer, polypropylene and blowing agent together, while, arguably, claims 4 and 7 may be interpreted as requiring first blending polybutadiene component with the blowing agent and then blending it with polypropylene. However, it is well established by the case law that selection of any order of mixing ingredients is *prima facie* obvious. *In re Gibson*, 39 F.2d 975, 5 USPQ 230 (CCPA 1930). The reference further ex[resslydiscloses several inorganic or physical blowing agents as suitable blowing agents for the invention. See column 3, lines 28-33. Thus, us of an additional blowing agent from the list of suitable blowing agent would have been obvious with reasonable expectation of successful cumulative results absent showing of unexpected results that can be attributed to the presence of a physical blowing agent. The reference further expressly discloses addition of several common additives, see column 3, lines 44-49.

The invention as claimed, thus, would have been obvious from the disclosure of Tanaka '147 and choosing the components that fully correspond to the claimed components and their combinations from the list of expressly disclosed components would have been obvious with reasonable expectation of adequate results absent showing of unexpected results.

Claims 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka in combination with EP 0928805 to Montell North America Inc., (hereinafter "Montell").

Art Unit: 1711

The disclosure of Tanaka '147 is discussed above. The difference between the disclosure of Tanaka '147 is that Tanaka '147 does not expressly discloses carbon dioxide among suitable physical blowing agents. However, functional equivalency of carbon dioxide and various halogenated or non-halogenated hydrocarbons as blowing agents for polyolefin based compositions is notoriously known in the art, as evidenced, for example, by Montell (page 4, [0021]). Thus, substituting one of expressly disclosed hydrocarbons of Tanaka with carbon dioxide would have been obvious with reasonable expectation of adequate results in view of such known functional equivalence in the absence of showing of unexpected results that can be clearly attributed to use of specifically claimed carbon dioxide.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 4,918,111 to Tanaka et al., (hereinafter "Tanaka '111").

Tanaka '111 discloses expandable resin compositions comprising an olefin based polymer and a thermally decomposable foaming agent. Among suitable olefinic polymers, the reference expressly discloses copolymers of at least 80 % of butane with and alpha-olefins, such as propylene. See column 3, lines 55-65. Thus, choosing the copolymer that corresponds to the claimed olefinic copolymers from the list of expressly disclosed suitable copolymers would have been obvious with reasonable expectation of adequate results. Among the suitable thermally decomposable materials, the reference lists several chemical compounds, which have decomposition temperatures both below and above 190 C. See list in column 3, lines 27-47 where the reference further expressly discloses use one or more than one thermally decomposable blowing agent in

Art Unit: 1711

combination. Thus, choosing two blowing agents from the list of expressly disclosed compounds would be obvious with reasonable expectation of adequate results, absent showing of unexpected results that can be clearly attributed to two claimed components with specific decomposition temperatures. The reference further expressly discloses addition of several common additives, see column 4, lines 14-19.

The invention as claimed, thus, would have been obvious from the disclosure of Tanaka '111 and choosing the components that fully correspond to the claimed components and their combinations from the list of expressly disclosed components would have been obvious with reasonable expectation of adequate results absent showing of unexpected results.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irina S. Zemel whose telephone number is (571)272-0577. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571)272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,387 Page 6

Art Unit: 1711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Irina S. Zemel Examiner Art Unit 1711

ISZ